arXiv:1401.0342v2 [math.CO] 26 Oct 2015

On the complexity of computing the k-metric dimension of graphs

Ismael G. Yero[(1)], Alejandro Estrada-Moreno[(2)]
and Juan A. Rodríguez-Velázquez[(2)]

[(1)]Departamento de Matemáticas, Escuela Politécnica Superior de Algeciras
Universidad de Cádiz, Av. Ramón Puyol s/n, 11202 Algeciras, Spain.
ismael.gonzalez@uca.es

[(2)]Departament d'Enginyeria Informàtica i Matemàtiques,
Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Av. Països Catalans 26, 43007 Tarragona, Spain.
alejandro.estrada@urv.cat, juanalberto.rodriguez@urv.cat

October 28, 2015

Abstract

Given a connected graph $G = (V, E)$, a set $S \subseteq V$ is a k-metric generator for G if for any two different vertices $u, v \in V$, there exist at least k vertices $w_1, ..., w_k \in S$ such that $d_G(u, w_i) \neq d_G(v, w_i)$ for every $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$. A metric generator of minimum cardinality is called a k-metric basis and its cardinality the k-metric dimension of G. We study some problems regarding the complexity of some k-metric dimension problems. For instance, we show that the problem of computing the k-metric dimension of graphs is NP-Complete. However, the problem is solved in linear time for the particular case of trees.

Keywords: k-metric dimension; k-metric dimensional graph; metric dimension; NP-complete problem; Graph algorithms.

AMS Subject Classification Numbers: 68Q17; 05C05; 05C12; 05C85.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ denote the set of nonnegative real numbers. A *metric space* is a pair (X, d), where X is a set of points and $d : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ satisfies $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$, $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. A *generator* of a metric space (X, d) is a set S of points in the space with the property that every point of the space is uniquely determined by the distances from the elements of S. A point $v \in X$ is said to *distinguish* two points x and y of X if $d(v, x) \neq d(v, y)$. Hence, S is a generator if and only if any pair of points of X is distinguished by some element of S.

Let $\mathbb{N}$ denote the set of nonnegative integers. Given a connected graph $G = (V, E)$, we consider the function $d_G : V \times V \to \mathbb{N}$, where $d_G(x, y)$ is the length of a shortest path between u and v. Clearly, (V, d_G) is a metric space. The diameter of a graph is understood in this metric.

A vertex set $S \subseteq V$ is said to be a *metric generator* for G if it is a generator of the metric space (V, d_G). A minimum metric generator is called a *metric basis*, and its cardinality the *metric dimension* of G, denoted by $\dim(G)$. Motivated by some problems regarding unique location of intruders in a network, the concept of metric dimension of a graph was introduced by Slater in [16], where the metric generators were called *locating sets*. The concept of metric dimension of a graph was also introduced by Harary and Melter in [7], where metric generators were called *resolving sets*. Applications of this invariant to the navigation of robots in networks are discussed in [10] and applications to chemistry in [8, 9]. This graph parameter was studied further in a number of other papers including, for instance [1, 3, 4, 11, 12, 17]. Several variations of metric generators including resolving dominating sets [2], locating dominating sets [14], independent resolving sets [5], local metric sets [13], strong resolving sets [15], etc. have been introduced and studied.

From now on we consider an extension of the concept of metric generators introduced in [6] by the authors of this paper. Given a simple and connected graph $G = (V, E)$, a set $S \subseteq V$ is said to be a *k-metric generator* for G if and only if any pair of vertices of G is distinguished by at least k elements of S, *i.e.*, for any pair of different vertices $u, v \in V$, there exist at least k vertices $w_1, w_2, ..., w_k \in S$ such that

$$d_G(u, w_i) \neq d_G(v, w_i), \text{ for every } i \in \{1, ..., k\}. \tag{1}$$

A k-metric generator of minimum cardinality in G is called a *k-metric basis* and its cardinality the *k-metric dimension* of G, which is denoted by $\dim_k(G)$, [6]. Note that every k-metric generator S satisfies that $|S| \geq k$ and, if $k > 1$, then S is also a $(k-1)$-metric generator. Moreover, 1-metric generators are the standard metric generators (resolving sets or locating sets as defined in [7] or [16], respectively). Notice that if $k = 1$, then the problem of checking if a set S is a metric generator reduces to check condition (1) only for those vertices $u, v \in V - S$, as every vertex in S is distinguished at least by itself. Also, if $k = 2$, then condition (1) must be checked only for those pairs having at most one vertex in S, since two vertices of S are distinguished at least by themselves. Nevertheless, if $k \geq 3$, then condition (1) must be checked for every pair of different vertices of the graph.

In this article we show the NP-Hardness of the problem of computing the k-metric dimension of graphs. To do so, we first prove that the decision problem regarding whether $\dim_k(G) \leq r$ for some graph G and some integer $r \geq k + 1$ is NP-complete. The particular case of trees is separately addressed, based on the fact that for trees, the problem mentioned above becomes polynomial. We say that a connected graph G is *k-metric dimensional* if k is the largest integer such that there exists a k-metric basis for G. We also show that the problem of finding the integer k such that a graph G is k-metric dimensional can be solved in polynomial time. The reader is referred to [6] for combinatorial results on the k-metric dimension, including tight bounds and some closed formulae. The article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we analyze the problem of computing the largest integer k such that there exists a k-metric basis. In Section 3 we show that the decision problem regarding whether the k-metric dimension of a graph does not exceed a positive integer is NP-complete, which gives also the NP-Hardness of computing $\dim_k(G)$ for any

graph G. The procedure of such proof is done by using some similar techniques like those ones already presented in [10] while studying the computational complexity problems related to the standard metric dimension of graphs. Finally, in Section 4 we give an algorithm for determining the value of k such that a tree is k-metric dimensional and present two algorithms for computing the k-metric dimension and obtaining a k-metric basis of any tree. We also show that all algorithms presented in this section run in linear time.

Throughout the paper, we use the notation $S_{1,n-1}$, C_n and P_n for star graph, cycle graphs and path graphs of order n, respectively. For a vertex v of a graph G, $N_G(v)$ denote the set of neighbors or *open neighborhood* of v in G. The *closed neighborhood*, denoted by $N_G[v]$, equals $N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$. If there is no ambiguity, we simple write $N(v)$ or $N[v]$. We also refer to the degree of v as $\delta(v) = |N(v)|$. The minimum and maximum degrees of G are denoted by $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$, respectively.

In this work the remain definitions are given the first time that the concept is found in the text.

2 k-metric dimensional graphs.

Notice that if a graph G is a k-metric dimensional graph, then for every positive integer $k' \leq k$, G has at least a k'-metric basis. Since for every pair of vertices x, y of a graph G we have that they are distinguished at least by themselves, it follows that the whole vertex set $V(G)$ is a 2-metric generator for G and, as a consequence it follows that every graph G is k-metric dimensional for some $k \geq 2$. On the other hand, for any connected graph G of order $n > 2$ there exists at least one vertex $v \in V(G)$ such that $\delta(v) \geq 2$. Since v does not distinguish any pair $x, y \in N_G(v)$, there is no n-metric dimensional graph of order $n > 2$.

Remark 1. *Let G be a k-metric dimensional graph of order n. If $n \geq 3$ then, $2 \leq k \leq n-1$. Moreover, G is n-metric dimensional if and only if $G \cong K_2$.*

Next we present a characterization of k-metric dimensional graphs already known from [6]. To this end, we need some additional terminology. Given two vertices $x, y \in V(G)$, we say that the set of *distinctive vertices* of x, y is

$$\mathcal{D}_G(x, y) = \{z \in V(G) : d_G(x, z) \neq d_G(y, z)\}.$$

Theorem 2. [6] *A graph G is k-metric dimensional if and only if $k = \min_{x,y \in V(G)} |\mathcal{D}_G(x, y)|$.*

Now we consider the problem of finding the integer k such that a graph G of order n is k-metric dimensional.

k-DIMENSIONAL GRAPH PROBLEM
INSTANCE: A connected graph G of order $n \geq 3$
PROBLEM: Find the integer k, $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, such that G is k-metric dimensional

Remark 3. *Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 3$. The time complexity of computing the value k for which G is k-metric dimensional is $O(n^3)$.*

Proof. We can initially compute the distance matrix DistM_G, by using the well-known Floyd-Warshall algorithm, whose time complexity is $O(n^3)$. The distance matrix DistM_G is symmetric of order $n \times n$ whose rows and columns are labeled by vertices, with entries between 0 and $n-1$. Now observe that $z \in \mathcal{D}_G(x,y)$ if and only if $\mathrm{DistM}_G(x,z) \neq \mathrm{DistM}_G(y,z)$.

Given the matrix DistM_G, the process of computing how many vertices belong to $\mathcal{D}_G(x,y)$ for each of the $\binom{n}{2}$ pairs $x, y \in V(G)$ can be checked in linear time. Therefore, the overall running time of such a process is bounded by the cubic time of the Floyd-Warshall algorithm. □

3 The k-metric dimension problem

Since the problem of computing the value k' for which a given graph is k'-metric dimensional is polynomial, we can study the problem of deciding whether the k-metric dimension, $k \leq k'$, of G is less than or equal to r, for some $r \geq k+1$, *i.e.*, the following decision problem.

k-METRIC DIMENSION PROBLEM INSTANCE: A k'-metric dimensional graph G of order $n \geq 3$ and integers k, r such that $1 \leq k \leq k'$ and $k+1 \leq r \leq n$. QUESTION: Is $\dim_k(G) \leq r$?

Next we prove that k-METRIC DIMENSION PROBLEM is NP-complete. The proof that the k-METRIC DIMENSION PROBLEM is NP-complete for $k = 1$ was given by Khuller *et al.* [10]. As a kind of generalization of the technique used in [10] for $k = 1$, we also use a reduction from 3-SAT in order to analyze the NP-completeness of the k-METRIC DIMENSION PROBLEM.

Our problem is clearly in NP, since verifying that a given subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $k+1 \leq |S| \leq r$ is a k-metric generator for a graph G, can be done in polynomial time by using some similar procedure like that described in the proof of Remark 3. In order to present the reduction from 3-SAT, we need some terminology and notation. From now on, we assume $x_1, ..., x_n$ are the variables; $Q_1, ..., Q_s$ are the clauses; and $x_1, \overline{x_1}, x_2, \overline{x_2}, ..., x_n, \overline{x_n}$ are the literals, where x_i represents a positive literal of the variable while $\overline{x_i}$ represents a negative literal.

We consider an arbitrary input to 3-SAT, that is, a boolean formula $\mathcal{F}$ with n variables and s clauses. In this reduction, without loss of generality, we assume that the formula $\mathcal{F}$ has $n \geq 4$ variables. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ be the set of variables and let $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_1, Q_2, ..., Q_s\}$ be the set of clauses. Now we construct a graph G_F in the following way.

- For every $x_i \in X$, we take an even cycle C^i of order $4\left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \right\rceil + 2$ and we denote by F_i (the false node) and by T_i (the true node) two diametral vertices of C^i. Then we denote by $f_i^1, f_i^2, ..., f_i^{2\left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \right\rceil}$ the half vertices of C^i closest to F_i and we denote by $t_i^1, t_i^2, ..., t_i^{2\left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \right\rceil}$ the half vertices of C^i closest to T_i (see Figure 1).

- For every clause $Q_j \in \mathcal{Q}$, we take a star graph $S_{1,4}$ with central vertex u_j and leaves $u_j^1, u_j^2, u_j^3, u_j^4$. If $k \geq 3$, then we subdivide the edge $u_j u_j^2$ until we obtain a shortest $u_j - u_j^2$



Figure 1: The cycle C^i associated to the variable x_i.

path of order $\left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \right\rceil + 1$, as well as, we subdivide the edge $u_j u_j^3$ until we obtain a shortest $u_j - u_j^3$ path of order $\left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor + 1$ (see Figure 2). We denote by $P(u_j^2, u_j^3)$ the shortest $u_j^2 - u_j^3$ path of length k obtained after subdivision.



Figure 2: The subgraph associated to the clause Q_j.

- If a variable x_i occurs as a positive literal in a clause Q_j, then we add the edges $T_i u_j^1$, $F_i u_j^1$ and $F_i u_j^4$ (see Figure 3).
- If a variable x_i occurs as a negative literal in a clause Q_j, then we add the edges $T_i u_j^1$, $F_i u_j^1$ and $T_i u_j^4$ (see Figure 3).
- Finally, for every $l \in \{1, ..., n\}$ such that x_l and $\overline{x_l}$ do not occur in a clause Q_j we add the the edges $T_l u_j^1$, $T_l u_j^4$, $F_l u_j^1$ and $F_l u_j^4$.

Notice that the graph G_F obtained from the procedure above has order $n\left(4\left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \right\rceil + 2\right) + s(k+3)$. Also, it is straightforward to observe that given the formula $\mathcal{F}$, the graph G_F can be constructed in polynomial time. Next we prove that $\mathcal{F}$ is satisfiable if and only if $\dim_k(G_F) = k(n+s)$. To do so, we first notice some properties of G_F.

Remark 4. *Let $x_i \in X$. Then there exist two different vertices $a, b \in V(C^i)$ such that they are distinguished only by vertices of the cycle C^i and, as consequence, for any k-metric basis S of G_F, we have that $|S \cap V(C^i)| \geq k$.*

Proof. To observe that it is only necessary to take the two vertices of C^i adjacent to T_i or adjacent to F_i. □

Remark 5. *Let $Q_j \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then there exist two different vertices x, y in the shortest $u_j^2 - u_j^3$ path such that they are distinguished only by vertices of the itself shortest $u_j^2 - u_j^3$ path and, as consequence, for any k-metric basis S of G_F, we have that $|S \cap V(P(u_j^2, u_j^3))| \geq k$.*



Figure 3: The subgraph associated to the clause $Q_j = (x_1 \vee \overline{x_2} \vee \overline{x_3})$ (taking $k = 4$).

Proof. To observe that it is only necessary to take the two vertices of $P(u_j^2, u_j^3)$ adjacent to u_j. □

Proposition 6. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an arbitrary input to 3-SAT problem. Then the graph G_F associated to $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies that $\dim_k(G_F) \geq k(n+s)$.*

Proof. As a consequence of Remarks 4 and 5 we obtain that for every variable $x_i \in X$ and for every clause $Q_j \in \mathcal{Q}$ the set of vertices of G_F associated to each variable or clause, contains at least k vertices of every k-metric basis for G_F. Thus, the result follows. □

Theorem 7. *k-METRIC DIMENSION PROBLEM is NP-complete.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an arbitrary input to 3-SAT problem having more than three variables and let G_F be the graph associated to $\mathcal{F}$. We shall show that $\mathcal{F}$ is satisfiable if and only if $\dim_k(G_F) = k(n+s)$.

We first assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is satisfiable. From Proposition 6 we have that $\dim_k(G_F) \geq k(n+s)$. Now, based on a satisfying assignment of $\mathcal{F}$, we shall give a set S of vertices of G_F, of cardinality $|S| = k(n+s)$, which is k-metric generator.

Suppose we have a satisfying assignment for $\mathcal{F}$. For every clause $Q_j \in \mathcal{Q}$ we add to S all the vertices of the set $V(P(u_j^2, u_j^3)) - \{u_j\}$. For a variable $x_i \in X$ we consider the following. If the value of x_i is true, then we add to S the vertices $t_i^1, t_i^2, ..., t_i^{2\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil}$. On the contrary, if the value of x_i is false, then we add to S the vertices $f_i^1, f_i^2, ..., f_i^{2\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil}$.

We shall show that S is a k-metric generator for G_F. Let a, b be two different vertices of G_F. We consider the following cases.

Case 1. $a, b \in V(C^i)$ for some $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$. Hence, there exists at most one vertex $y \in S \cap V(C^i)$ such that $d(a, y) = d(b, y)$. If $d(a, w) \neq d(b, w)$ for every vertex $w \in S \cap V(C^i)$, then since

$|S \cap V(C^i)| = k$, we have that $|\mathcal{D}_G(a,b) \cap S| = k$. On the other hand, if there exist one vertex $y \in S \cap V(C^i)$ such that $d(a,y) = d(b,y)$, then $d(a,T_i) \neq d(b,T_i)$ and $d(a,F_i) \neq d(b,F_i)$. Thus, for every $w \in S - V(C^i)$ it follows that $d(a,w) \neq d(b,w)$ and a,b are distinguished by more than k vertices of S.

Case 2. $a,b \in V(P(u_j^2,u_j^3))$. Hence, there exists at most one vertex $y' \in S \cap V(P(u_j^2,u_j^3))$ such that $d(a,y') = d(b,y')$. But, in this case, $d(a,u_j) \neq d(b,u_j)$ and so for every $w \in S - V(P(u_j^2,u_j^3))$ it follows that $d(a,w) \neq d(b,w)$ and a,b are distinguished by at least k vertices of S.

Case 3. $a = u_j^1$ and $b = u_j^4$. Since the clause Q_j is satisfied, there exists $i \in \{1,...,n\}$, *i.e*, a variable x_i occurring in the clause Q_j such that either

- $a \sim T_i$, $b \not\sim T_i$ and $S \cap V(C^i) = \left\{t_i^1, t_i^2, ..., t_i^{2\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil}\right\}$, *i.e*, a variable x_i occurring as a positive literal in Q_j and has the value true in the assignment, or
- $a \sim F_i$, $b \not\sim F_i$ and $S \cap V(C^i) = \left\{f_i^1, f_i^2, ..., f_i^{2\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil}\right\}$, *i.e*, a variable x_i occurring as a negative literal in Q_j and has the value false in the assignment.

Thus, in any case we have that for every $w \in S \cap V(C^i)$ it follows $d(a,w) < d(b,w)$ and a,b are distinguished by at least k vertices of S.

Case 4. $a \in V(C^i)$ and $b \in V(C^l)$ for some $i,l \in \{1,...,n\}$, $i \neq l$. In this case, if there is a vertex $z \in S \cap V(C^i)$ such that $d(a,z) = d(b,z)$, then for every vertex $w \in S \cap V(C^l)$ it follows that $d(a,w) \neq d(b,w)$. So a,b are resolved by at least k vertices of S.

Case 5. $a \in V(C^i)$ and $b \in V(P(u_j^2,u_j^3))$. It is similar to the case above.

Case 6. $a \in \{u_j^1,u_j^4\}$ and $b \notin \{u_j^1,u_j^4\}$. If $b \in V(C^i)$, for some $i \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$, then all elements of $S \cap V(P(u_j^2,u_j^3)$ distinguish a,b. Now, let w be one of the two vertices adjacent to u_j in $P(u_j^2,u_j^3)$. If $b \in V(P(u_j^2,u_j^3)) - \{w\}$, then all elements of $S \cap V(C^i)$ distinguish a,b. On the other hand, since $n \geq 4$, if $b = w$, then there exists a variable x_l not occurring in the clause Q_j. Thus, the vertex a is adjacent to T_l and to F_l and, as a consequence, the vertices of $S \cap V(C^l)$ distinguish a,b.

As a consequence of the cases above, we have that S is a k-metric generator for G_F. Therefore, $\dim_k(G_F) = k(n+s)$.

Next we prove that, if $\dim_k(G_F) = k(n+s)$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is satisfiable. To this end, we show that there exists a k-metric basis S of G_F such that we can set an assignment of the variables, so that $\mathcal{F}$ is satisfiable. We take S in the same way as the k-metric generator for G_F described above. Since S is a k-metric generator for G_F of cardinality $k(n+s)$, it is also a k-metric basis. Note that for any cycle C_i either $S \cap V(C_i) = \left\{t_i^1, t_i^2, ..., t_i^{2\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil}\right\}$ or $S \cap V(C_i) = \left\{f_i^1, f_i^2, ..., f_i^{2\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil}\right\}$.

In this sense, we set an assignment of the variables as follows. Given a variable $x_i \in X$, if

$S \cap \left\{t_i^1, t_i^2, ..., t_i^{2^{\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil}}\right\} = \emptyset$, then we set x_i to be false. Otherwise we set x_i to be true. We claim that this assignment satisfies $\mathcal{F}$.

Consider any clause $Q_j \in \mathcal{Q}$ and let $x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, x_{j_3}$ the variables occurring in Q_j. Recall that for each clause Q_h, we have that $S \cap V(P(u_h^2, u_h^3)) = V(P(u_h^2, u_h^3)) - \{u_h\}$. Besides any vertex of $V(C_l)$ associated to a variable x_l, $l \neq j_1, j_2, j_3$, nor any vertex of $S \cap V(P(u_h^2, u_h^3))$ associated to a clause Q_h, distinguishes the vertices u_j^1 and u_j^4. Thus u_j^1 and u_j^4 must be distinguished by at least k vertices belonging to $V(C_{j_1}) \cup V(C_{j_2}) \cup V(C_{j_3})$ associated to the variables $x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, x_{j_3}$.

Now, according to the way in which we have added the edges between the vertices T_{j_1}, T_{j_2}, T_{j_3}, F_{j_1}, F_{j_2}, F_{j_3} and u_j^1, u_j^4, we have that u_j^1 and u_j^4 are distinguished by at least k vertices of S if and only if one of the following statements holds.

- There exists $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ for which the variable x_{j_l} occurs as a negative literal in the clause Q_j and $S \cap \left\{t_j^1, t_j^2, ..., t_j^{2^{\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil}}\right\} = \emptyset$ (in such a case x_{j_l} is set to be false).
- There exists $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ for which the variable x_{j_l} occurs as a positive literal in the clause Q_j and $S \cap \left\{t_j^1, t_j^2, ..., t_j^{2^{\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil}}\right\} \neq \emptyset$ (in such a case x_{j_l} is set to be true).

As a consequence of two cases above, we have that if at least k vertices of S distinguish u_j^1, u_j^4, then the setting of x_{j_l}, $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, is such that it satisfies the clause Q_j. Therefore $\mathcal{F}$ is satisfiable. □

As a consequence of the theorem above we have the following result.

Corollary 8. *The problem of finding the k-metric dimension of graphs is NP-hard.*

4 The particular case of trees

In order to continue presenting our results, we need to introduce some definitions. A vertex of degree at least three in a tree T is called a *major vertex* of T. Any leaf u of T is said to be a *terminal vertex* of a major vertex v of T, if $d_T(u, v) < d_T(u, w)$ for every other major vertex w of T. The *terminal degree* $\text{ter}(v)$ of a major vertex v is the number of terminal vertices of v. A major vertex v of T is an *exterior major vertex* of T if it has positive terminal degree. Let $\mathcal{M}(T)$ be the set of exterior major vertices of T having terminal degree greater than one.

Given $w \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ and a terminal vertex u_j of w, we denote by $P(u_j, w)$ the shortest path that starts at u_j and ends at w. Let $l(u_j, w)$ be the length of $P(u_j, w)$. Now, given $w \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ and two terminal vertices u_j, u_r of w we denote by $P(u_j, w, u_r)$ the shortest path from u_j to u_r containing w, and by $\varsigma(u_j, u_r)$ the length of $P(u_j, w, u_r)$. Notice that, by the definition of exterior major vertex, $P(u_j, w, u_r)$ is obtained by concatenating the paths $P(u_j, w)$ and $P(u_r, w)$, where w is the only vertex of degree greater than two lying on these paths.

Finally, given $w \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ and the set of terminal vertices $U = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k\}$ of w, for $j \neq r$ we define

$$\varsigma(w) = \min_{u_j, u_r \in U} \{\varsigma(u_j, u_r)\}$$

and

$$l(w) = \min_{u_j \in U}\{l(u_j, w)\}.$$

From the local parameters above we define the following global parameter

$$\varsigma(T) = \min_{w \in \mathcal{M}(T)}\{\varsigma(w)\}.$$

An example of a tree T which helps to better understand the notation above is given in Figure 4. In such a case we have that $\mathcal{M}(T) = \{6, 12, 26\}$, $\{1, 4\}$ is the set of terminal vertices of 6, $\{9, 11\}$ is the set of terminal vertices of 12 and $\{15, 20, 23\}$ is the set of terminal vertices of 26. For instance, for the vertex 26 we have that $l(26) = \min\{l(15, 26), l(20, 26), l(23, 26)\} = \min\{5, 3, 3\} = 3$ and $\varsigma(26) = \min\{\varsigma(15, 20), \varsigma(15, 23), \varsigma(20, 23)\} = \min\{8, 8, 6\} = 6$. Analogously, we deduce that $l(6) = 2$, $\varsigma(6) = 5$, $l(12) = 1$ and $\varsigma(12) = 3$. Therefore, we conclude that $\varsigma(T) = \min\{\varsigma(6), \varsigma(12), \varsigma(26)\} = \min\{5, 3, 6\} = 3$.



Figure 4: A tree T where $\varsigma(T) = 3$. Note that vertices are labeled through a post-order traversal.

4.1 On k-metric dimensional trees different from paths

In this section we focus on finding the positive integer k for which a tree is k-metric dimensional. We now show a result presented in [6] that allow us to consider only those trees that are not paths.

Theorem 9. [6] *A graph G of order $n \geq 3$ is $(n-1)$-metric dimensional if and only if G is a path or G is an odd cycle.*

The following theorem presented in [6] is the base of the algorithm presented in this subsection.

Theorem 10. [6] *If T is a k-metric dimensional tree different from a path, then $k = \varsigma(T)$.*

Now we consider the problem of finding the integer k such that a tree T of order n is k-metric dimensional.

k-DIMENSIONAL TREE PROBLEM
INSTANCE: A tree T different from a path of order n
PROBLEM: Find the integer k, $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, such that T is k-metric dimensional

Algorithm 1:
Input: A tree T different from a path rooted in a major vertex v.
Output: The value k for which T is k-metric dimensional.

1. For any vertex $u \in V(T)$ visited by post-order traversal as shown in Figure 4, assign a pair (a_u, b_u) in the following way:
   (a) If u does not have any child (u is a leaf), then $a_u = 1$ and $b_u = \infty$.
   (b) If u has only one child (u has degree 2), then $a_u = a_{u'} + 1$ and $b = b_{u'}$, where the pair $(a_{u'}, b_{u'})$ was assigned to the child vertex of u. Note that $a_{u'}$ can be ∞. Thus, in such case, $a_u = \infty$.
   (c) If u has at least two children (u is a major vertex), then $a_u = \infty$ and $b_u = \min\{a_{u_1} + a_{u_2}, b_{min}\}$, where a_{u_1} and a_{u_2} are the two minimum values among all possible pairs (a_{u_i}, b_{u_i}) assigned to the children of u, and b_{min} is the minimum value among all the b_{u_i}'s.
2. The value k for which T is k-metric dimensional equals b_v (the second element of the pair assigned to the root v).

Figure 5 shows an example of a run of Algorithm 1 for the tree shown in Figure 4.



Figure 5: Algorithm 1 yields that this tree is 3-metric dimensional.

Remark 11. *Let T be a tree different from a path of order n. Algorithm 1 computes the integer k, $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, such that T is k-metric dimensional.*

Proof. Let v be the major vertex taken as the root of the tree T different from a path, and let (a_v, b_v) be the pair stored in v by Algorithm 1. We show that $b_v = \varsigma(T)$. Since v is a major vertex, it has at least three children. Let $t \geq 3$ be the number of children of v and let $S_1, \ldots, S_t$ be the subtrees whose roots are the children $v_1, \ldots, v_t$ of v, respectively. We differentiate two cases:

1. There exist at least two subtrees that are paths. In this case $v \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. Let $S_1, \ldots, S_{t'}$ be the subtrees that are paths, where $2 \leq t' \leq t$. In this case, after running Algorithm 1, each root v_i of S_i, $1 \leq i \leq t'$, stores the pair (a_{v_i}, ∞), where a_{v_i} is the number of vertices of S_i. Note that $\varsigma(v) = a_{v_1} + a_{v_2}$, where a_{v_1} and a_{v_2} are the two minimum values among all a_{v_i}'s belonging to the pairs (a_{v_i}, b_{v_i}) stored by the children of v such that $1 \leq i \leq t'$. If $t' = t$, then v is the only exterior major vertex of T, and Algorithm 1 stores in v the pair $(a_v, b_v) = (\infty, \varsigma(v)) = (\infty, \varsigma(T))$. Assume now that $t' < t$. Thus, there exists at least one subtree that is not path. Let $S_{t'+1}, \ldots, S_t$ be the subtrees that are not paths. For each root v_i of S_i, $t'+1 \leq i \leq t$, if v_i is a major vertex, then we take the vertex $v'_i = v_i$. Otherwise, v'_i is the first descendant of v_i that is a major vertex. In this case, Algorithm 1 recursively stores in v'_i the pair $(\infty, b_{v'_i})$, where $b_{v'_i} = \min_{v' \in \mathcal{M}(T) \cap V(S_i)} \{\varsigma(v')\}$. In both cases, $b_{v_i} = b_{v'_i}$, where (∞, b_{v_i}) is the pair stored in v_i by Algorithm 1. Therefore, by Algorithm 1, the root v stores the pair $(a_v, b_v) = (\infty, \min\{\varsigma(v), b_{min}\}) = (\infty, \varsigma(T))$, where $b_{min} = \min_{t'+1 \leq i \leq t} \{b_i\}$.

2. There exists at most one subtree that is a path. In this case $v \notin \mathcal{M}(T)$. Let $S_1, \ldots, S'_t$ be the subtrees that are not paths, where $1 \leq t' \leq t$. For each root v_i of S_i, $1 \leq i \leq t'$, if v_i is a major vertex, then we take the vertex $v'_i = v_i$. Otherwise, v'_i is the first descendant of v_i that is a major vertex. In this case, Algorithm 1 recursively stores in v'_i the pair $(\infty, b_{v'_i})$, where $b_{v'_i} = \min_{v' \in \mathcal{M}(T) \cap V(S_i)} \{\varsigma(v')\}$. In both cases, $b_{v_i} = b_{v'_i}$, where (∞, b_{v_i}) is the pair stored in v_i by Algorithm 1. Note in this case, at least one of two minimum values among all a_{v_i} of pairs (a_{v_i}, b_{v_i}) stored by the children of v is infinity. Therefore, by Algorithm 1, v stores the pair $(a_v, b_v) = (\infty, b_{min}) = (\infty, \varsigma(T))$, where $b_{min} = \min_{1 \leq i \leq t'} \{b_{v_i}\}$.

In any case, $b_v = \varsigma(T)$, and the result follows. □

Corollary 12. *The positive integer k for which a tree different from a path is k-metric dimensional can be computed in linear time with respect to the order of the tree.*

4.2 On the k-metric bases and the k-metric dimension of trees different from paths

Based on the fact that any tree different from a path is $\varsigma(T)$-metric dimensional, in this section we propose an algorithm to compute the k-metric dimension and other one to determine a k-metric basis of any $k \leq \varsigma(T)$. We first present a result with the value of the k-metric dimension of paths, already presented in [6]. Further on, we center our attention to those trees different from paths.

Proposition 13. [6] *Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer. For any path graph P_n of order $n \geq k+1$,*

$$\dim_k(P_n) = k+1.$$

We observe that, for instance, if P_n is a path of order n and the two leaves of P_n belong to a set $S \subseteq V(P_n)$ of cardinality $k+1$, then S is a k-metric basis of P_n.

We now present a function for any exterior major vertex $w \in \mathcal{M}(T)$, shown in [6], that allow us to compute the k-metric dimension of any $k \leq \varsigma(T)$. Notice that this function uses the concepts already defined at the beginning of the Section 4. Given an integer $k \leq \varsigma(T)$,

$$I_k(w_i) = \begin{cases} (ter(w_i)-1)\,(k-l(w_i)) + l(w_i), & \text{if } l(w_i) \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor, \\ (ter(w_i)-1)\,\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil + \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The following theorem presented in [6] is the base of the two algorithms presented in this subsection.

Theorem 14. [6] *If T is a tree which is not a path, then for any $k \in \{1, \ldots, \varsigma(T)\}$,*

$$\dim_k(T) = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{M}(T)} I_k(w).$$

Now we consider the problem of computing the k-metric dimension of a tree T of order n, different from a path, for any $k \leq \varsigma(T)$.

k-DIMENSION TREE PROBLEM
INSTANCE: A tree T of order n
PROBLEM: Compute the k-metric dimension of T, for any $k \leq \varsigma(T)$

Algorithm 2:
Input: A tree T different from a path rooted in a major vertex v.
Output: The k-metric dimension of T for any $k \leq \varsigma(T)$.

1. For any vertex $u \in V(T)$ visited by post-order traversal as shown in Figure 4, assign a pair (a_u, b_u) in the following way:
   (a) If u does not have any child (u is a leaf), then $a_u = 1$ and $b_u = \infty$.
   (b) If u has only one child (u has degree 2), then $a_u = a_{u'} + 1$ and $b_u = b_{u'}$, where the pair $(a_{u'}, b_{u'})$ was assigned to the child vertex of u. Note that $a_{u'}$ can be ∞, in which case $a_u = \infty$.
   (c) If u has at least two children (u is a major vertex), then $a_u = \infty$. Let a_{min} be the minimum value among all a_{u_i}'s in the pairs (a_{u_i}, b_{u_i}) assigned to the children of u, let c_u be the number of labels a_{u_i} different from ∞, and let s_u be the sum of all $b_{u_i} \neq \infty$. If $c_u \leq 1$, then $b_u = s_u$. If $c_u \geq 2$ and $a_{min} \leq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$, then $b_u = a_{min} + (c_u - 1)(r - a_{min}) + s_u$. If $c_u \geq 2$ and $a_{min} > \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$, then $b_u = \left\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \right\rfloor + (c_u - 1) \left\lceil \frac{r}{2} \right\rceil + s_u$.



Figure 6: Algorithm 2 yields that 3-metric dimension of this tree is 11.

2. The k-metric dimension of T is b_v.

Figure 6 shows an example of a run of Algorithm 1 for the tree shown in Figure 4.

Remark 15. *Let T be a tree different from a path. Algorithm 2 computes the k-metric dimension of T for any $k \leq \varsigma(T)$.*

Proof. Let v be the major vertex taken as a root of the tree T different from a path and let (a, b) be the pair stored in v once Algorithm 2 has been executed. We shall show that $b_v = \sum_{v' \in \mathcal{M}(T)} I_k(v')$. Since v is a major vertex, it has at least three children. Let $t \geq 3$ be the number of children of v and let $S_1, \ldots, S_t$ be the subtrees whose roots are the children $v_1, \ldots, v_t$ of v, respectively. We differentiate two cases:

1. There exist at least two subtrees that are paths. In this case $v \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. Let $S_1, \ldots, S_{t'}$ be the subtrees that are paths, where $2 \leq t' \leq t$. In this case, once executed Algorithm 2, each root v_i of S_i, $1 \leq i \leq t'$, stores the pair (a_{v_i}, ∞), where a_{v_i} is the number of vertices of S'_i. Note that in this case $\text{ter}(v) = c_v = t' \geq 2$ and $l(v) = a_{min}$. If $a_{min} \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$, then $I_k(v) = a_{min} + (c_v - 1)(k - a_{min})$. Otherwise, $I_k(v) = \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor + (c_v - 1)\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$. If $t' = t$, then v is the only exterior major vertex and $s_v = 0$. As a consequence, Algorithm 2 has assigned to v the pair $(a_v, b_v) = (\infty, I_k(v))$. Assume that $t' < t$. Thus, there exists at least one subtree that is not path. Let $S_{t'+1}, \ldots, S_t$ be the subtrees that are not paths. We consider the root v_i of S_i, $t' + 1 \leq i \leq t$. If v_i is a major vertex, then we take the vertex $v'_i = v_i$. Otherwise, v'_i is the first descendant of v_i that is a major vertex. In this case, Algorithm 2 recursively assigns to v'_i the pair $(\infty, b_{v'_i})$, where $b_{v'_i} = \sum_{v' \in \mathcal{M}(T) \cap V(S_i)} I_k(v')$. In both cases, $b_{v_i} = b_{v'_i}$, where (∞, b_{v_i}) is the pair assigned to v_i by Algorithm 2. Hence, $s_v = \sum_{v' \in \mathcal{M}(T) - \{v\}} I_k(v')$. Therefore, the

execution of Algorithm 2 assigns to v the pair $(a_v, b_v) = \left(\infty, I_k(v) + \sum_{v' \in \mathcal{M}(T) - \{v\}} I_k(v')\right) = \left(\infty, \sum_{v' \in \mathcal{M}(T)} I_k(v')\right)$.

2. There exists at most one subtree that is a path. In this case $v \notin \mathcal{M}(T)$ and $c_v \leq 1$. Let $S_1, \ldots, S'_t$ be the subtrees that are not paths, where $1 \leq t' \leq t$. For each root v_i of S_i, $1 \leq i \leq t'$, if v_i is a major vertex, then we take the vertex $v'_i = v_i$. Otherwise, v'_i is the first descendant of v_i that is a major vertex. In this case, Algorithm 2 recursively assigns to v'_i the pair $(\infty, b_{v'_i})$, where $b_{v'_i} = \sum_{v' \in \mathcal{M}(T) \cap V(S_i)} I_k(v')$. In both cases, $b_{v_i} = b_{v'_i}$, where (∞, b_{v_i}) is the pair stored in v_i by an execution of Algorithm 2. Hence, $s_v = \sum_{v' \in \mathcal{M}(T)} I_k(v')$. Note in this case, at most one of all the a_{v_i}'s belonging to the pairs (a_{v_i}, b_{v_i}) assigned to the children of v is different from infinity. As a consequence, $c_v \leq 1$. Therefore, Algorithm 2 assigns to v the pair $(a_v, b_v) = \left(\infty, \sum_{v' \in \mathcal{M}(T)} I_k(v')\right)$.

In any case, $b_v = \sum_{v' \in \mathcal{M}(T)} I_k(v')$, and the result follows. □

Corollary 16. *The k-metric dimension of any tree different from a path, for any $k \leq \varsigma(T)$, can be computed in linear time with respect to the order of T.*

Now we consider the problem of finding a k-metric basis of a tree different from a path for any $k \leq \varsigma(T)$. To this end, we present an algorithm quite similar to Algorithm 2, which is based on the k-metric basis of T proposed in the proof of Theorem 14.

k-METRIC BASIS TREE PROBLEM
INSTANCE: A tree T of order n different from a path
PROBLEM: Find a k-metric basis of T, for any $k \leq \varsigma(T)$

Algorithm 3:
Input: A tree T different from a path rooted in a major vertex v.
Output: A k-metric basis of T for any $k \leq \varsigma(T)$.

1. For any vertex $u \in V(T)$ visited by post-order traversal as shown in Figure 4, assign a pair (a_u, b_u) in the following way:
   (a) If u does not have any child (u is a leaf), then $a = \{u\}$ and $b = \emptyset$.
   (b) If u has only one child (u has degree 2), then $b_u = b_{u'}$, where the pair $(a_{u'}, b_{u'})$ was assigned to the child vertex of u. If $a_{u'} = \emptyset$, then $a_u = \emptyset$. If $a_{u'} \neq \emptyset$, then $a_u = a_{u'} \cup \{u\}$.

(c) If u has at least two children (u is a major vertex), then $a_u = \emptyset$. Let a_{min} be a set of minimum cardinality among all a_{u_i} belonging to the pairs (a_{u_i}, b_{u_i}) assigned to the children of u, let c_u be the number of a_{u_i} which are different from an empty set, and let d_u be the union of all b_{u_i}. If $c_u \leq 1$, then $b_u = d_u$. If $c_u \geq 2$ and $|a_{min}| \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$, then we remove elements of each $a_{u_i} \neq a_{min}$ until its cardinality is $k - |a_{min}|$. If $c_u \geq 2$ and $|a_{min}| > \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$, then we remove elements of each $a_{u_i} \neq a_{min}$ until its cardinality is $\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$, and we remove elements of a_{min} until its cardinality is $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$. Then $b_u = a_{min} \cup \left(\bigcup_{a_{u_i} \neq a_{min}} a_{u_i} \right) \cup d_u$.

2. A k-metric basis of T is stored in b_v.

Remark 17. *Let T be a tree different from a path. Algorithm 3 finds an k-metric basis of T for any $k \leq \varsigma(T)$.*

Proof. Given an exterior major vertex $w \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ such that $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_t$ are its terminal vertices and $l(w) = l(u_{min}, w)$, we define the vertex set $B_k(w)$ in the following way. If $l(v) \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$, then $|B_k(w) \cap (V(P(u_j, w)) - \{w\})| = k - l(v)$, for any $j \neq min$, and $V(P(u_{min}, w)) - \{w\} \subset B_k(w)$. Otherwise, $|B_k(w) \cap (V(P(u_j, w)) - \{w\})| = \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$, for any $j \neq min$, and $|B_k(w) \cap (V(P(u_{min}, w)) - \{w\})| = \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$. It was shown in [6], that $\bigcup_{w \in \mathcal{M}(T)} B_k(w)$ is a k-metric basis of T. Let v be the major vertex taken as a root of the tree T different from a path, and let (a_v, b_v) be the pair assigned to v once executed Algorithm 3. We show that the vertex set $b_v = \bigcup_{w \in \mathcal{M}(T)} B_k(w)$. Since v is a major vertex, it has at least three children. Let $t \geq 3$ be the number of children of v and let $S_1, \ldots, S_t$ be the subtrees whose roots are the children $v_1, \ldots, v_t$ of v, respectively. We differentiate two cases:

1. There exist at least two subtrees that are paths. In this case $v \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. Let $S_1, \ldots, S_{t'}$ be the subtrees that are paths, where $2 \leq t' \leq t$. Hence, Algorithm 3 assigns to each root v_i of S_i, $1 \leq i \leq t'$, the pair $(a_{v_i}, \emptyset)$, where $a_{v_i} = V(S_i)$. Note that in that situation $\text{ter}(v) = c_v = t' \geq 2$ and $l(v) = |a_{min}|$. If $t' = t$, then v is the only exterior major vertex and $d_v = \emptyset$. As a consequence, Algorithm 3 assigns to v the pair $(\emptyset, B_k(v))$. Assume now that $t' < t$. Thus, there exists at least one subtree that is not a path. Let $S_{t'+1}, \ldots, S_t$ be the subtrees that are not paths. For each root v_i of S_i, $t' + 1 \leq i \leq t$, if v_i is a major vertex, then we take the vertex $v_i' = v_i$. Otherwise, v_i' is the first descendant of v_i that is a major vertex. Hence, Algorithm 3 recursively stores in v_i' the pair $(\infty, b_{v_i'})$, where $b_{v_i'} = \bigcup_{v' \in \mathcal{M}(T) \cap V(S_i)} B_k(v')$. In both cases, $b_{v_i} = b_{v_i'}$, where (∞, b_{v_i}) is the pair stored in v_i by Algorithm 3. Hence, $d_v = \bigcup_{v' \in \mathcal{M}(T) - \{v\}} B_k(v')$. Therefore, Algorithm 3 assigns to v the pair

$$(a_v, b_v) = \left(\emptyset, B_k(v) \cup \bigcup_{v' \in \mathcal{M}(T) - \{v\}} B_k(v') \right) = \left(\emptyset, \bigcup_{v' \in \mathcal{M}(T)} B_k(v') \right).$$

2. There exists at most one subtree that is a path. In this case $v \notin \mathcal{M}(T)$ and $c_v \leq 1$. Let $S_1, \ldots, S_t'$ be the subtrees that are not paths, where $1 \leq t' \leq t$. For each root v_i of S_i,

$1 \leq i \leq t'$, if v_i is a major vertex, then we take the vertex $v'_i = v_i$. Otherwise, v'_i is the first descendant of v_i that is a major vertex. Hence, Algorithm 3 has recursively assigned to v'_i the pair $(\infty, b_{v'_i})$, where $b_{v'_i} = \bigcup_{v' \in \mathcal{M}(T) \cap V(S_i)} B_k(v')$. Again, $b_{v_i} = b_{v'_i}$, where (∞, b_{v_i}) is the pair stored in v_i by Algorithm 3. Thus, $d_v = \bigcup_{v' \in \mathcal{M}(T)} B_k(v')$. Note in such case, at most one of all possible a_{v_i}'s belonging to the pairs (a_{v_i}, b_{v_i}) assigned to the children of v is different from infinity. As a consequence, $c_v \leq 1$. Therefore, Algorithm 3 assigns to v the pair $(a_v, b_v) = \left(\emptyset, \bigcup_{v' \in \mathcal{M}(T)} B_k(v')\right)$.

In any case, $b_v = \bigcup_{v' \in \mathcal{M}(T)} B_k(v')$, and the result follows. □

Corollary 18. *A k-metric basis of any tree different from a path, for any $k \leq \varsigma(T)$, can be computed in linear time with respect to the order of T.*

References

[1] R. F. Bailey, K. Meagher, On the metric dimension of grassmann graphs, Discrete Mathematics & Theoretical Computer Science 13 (4) (2011) 97–104.
URL http://www.dmtcs.org/dmtcs-ojs/index.php/dmtcs/article/view/2049

[2] R. C. Brigham, G. Chartrand, R. D. Dutton, P. Zhang, Resolving domination in graphs, Mathematica Bohemica 128 (1) (2003) 25–36.
URL http://mb.math.cas.cz/mb128-1/3.html

[3] J. Cáceres, C. Hernando, M. Mora, I. M. Pelayo, M. L. Puertas, C. Seara, D. R. Wood, On the metric dimension of cartesian product of graphs, SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics 21 (2) (2007) 423–441.
URL http://epubs.siam.org/doi/abs/10.1137/050641867

[4] G. Chartrand, L. Eroh, M. A. Johnson, O. R. Oellermann, Resolvability in graphs and the metric dimension of a graph, Discrete Applied Mathematics 105 (1-3) (2000) 99–113.
URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0166-218X(00)00198-0

[5] G. Chartrand, V. Saenpholphat, P. Zhang, The independent resolving number of a graph, Mathematica Bohemica 128 (4) (2003) 379–393.
URL http://mb.math.cas.cz/mb128-4/4.html

[6] A. Estrada-Moreno, J. A. Rodríguez-Velázquez, I. G. Yero, The k-metric dimension of a graph, Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences 9 (6) (2015) 2829–2840.
URL http://naturalspublishing.com/files/published/05a21265hsd7y2.pdf

[7] F. Harary, R. A. Melter, On the metric dimension of a graph, Ars Combinatoria 2 (1976) 191–195.
URL http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0457289

[8] M. Johnson, Structure-activity maps for visualizing the graph variables arising in drug design, Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics 3 (2) (1993) 203–236, pMID: 8220404.
URL http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10543409308835060

[9] M. Johnson, Browsable structure-activity datasets, in: R. Carbó-Dorca, P. Mezey (eds.), Advances in Molecular Similarity, chap. 8, JAI Press Inc, Stamford, Connecticut, 1998, pp. 153–170.
URL http://books.google.es/books?id=1vvMsHXd2AsC

[10] S. Khuller, B. Raghavachari, A. Rosenfeld, Landmarks in graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics 70 (3) (1996) 217–229.
URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0166218X95001062

[11] D. Kuziak, I. G. Yero, J. A. Rodríguez-Velázquez, On the strong metric dimension of corona product graphs and join graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics 161 (7–8) (2013) 1022–1027.
URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0166218X12003897

[12] R. A. Melter, I. Tomescu, Metric bases in digital geometry, Computer Vision, Graphics, and Image Processing 25 (1) (1984) 113–121.
URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0734189X84900513

[13] F. Okamoto, B. Phinezy, P. Zhang, The local metric dimension of a graph, Mathematica Bohemica 135 (3) (2010) 239–255.
URL http://dml.cz/dmlcz/140702

[14] D. F. Rall, P. J. Slater, On location-domination numbers for certain classes of graphs, Congressus Numerantium 45 (1984) 97–106.
URL http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0777715

[15] A. Sebö, E. Tannier, On metric generators of graphs, Mathematics of Operations Research 29 (2) (2004) 383–393.
URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1287/moor.1030.0070

[16] P. J. Slater, Leaves of trees, Congressus Numerantium 14 (1975) 549–559.

[17] I. G. Yero, D. Kuziak, J. A. Rodríquez-Velázquez, On the metric dimension of corona product graphs, Computers & Mathematics with Applications 61 (9) (2011) 2793–2798.
URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0898122111002094